

## Appendix 10

### Panel Consultation

## Panel Consultation: Table of responses.

| Name                   | Organisation     | Sites to assess                   | Response of Panel   | SHLAA reaction to response  |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Ian Mercer             | Bruton Knowles   | <a href="#">NWAR012/SLA 131</a>   | No Response   | N/A   |
| David Joseph           | Bloor Homes      | <a href="#">NWAR114/SLA 31</a>    | No Response   | N/A   |
| Rhydion Scullock-Jones | Savills          | <a href="#">NWAR171a / SLA 89</a> | The area of land closest to the urban settlement should be considered as a more deliverable site with the outer lying areas considered for development at a later stage. The suggested timeline seems to favour this option making it a more deliverable site. It is agreed that the Northern edge should be retained as a settlement boundary.                                   | The site schedule assessment was amended to include this opinion which we agree with and is reflected in our conclusions on developable area and timeframe for delivery.                            |
| Peter Glazebrook       | Hallam Land      | <a href="#">NWAR171b/SLA 89</a>   | There are a lot of uncertainties about the eventual net developable area of this site. Mineral reserves, ground stability, topography. Until there is greater certainty, a lower quantum, something similar to 500 units seems plausible and will make access more achievable.  | The site schedule assessment was amended to include this opinion which we agree with and is reflected in our conclusions on developable area and yields that can realistically be achieved on site. |
| Andrew Munton          | Bellway Homes    | <a href="#">NWAR066/SLA 98</a>    | Concerns of 'bad neighbour' depending on the uses proposed on the employment site were raised. A slightly higher density may be appropriate. This would also suit affordable housing to link up with the job, although this depends on the final mix of employment opportunities. A development brief should be put in place to suitably design out the interaction between uses. | The site schedule assessment was amended to include these suggestions which we agree with and is reflected in our conclusions on suitability and the final suggestions on yield and mix.            |
| John Acres             | Catesby Property | <a href="#">NWAR164/SLA 86</a>    | No Response   | N/A   |
| tba                    | Deeley Homes     | <a href="#">NWAR249/PS14</a>      | High site coverage of flood risk? Access would have to be off Sheepy Road from a market point of view – any (motor) vehicular relationship with the industrial areas need to be resisted and open space needed to mitigate the flood risk might best be located to the 'rear' of the industrial area. A good site with high appeal to the market.                                 | The site schedule assessment was amended to include these comments on access which we agree with and is reflected in our conclusions on suitability, developable areas and timeframe for delivery.  |



Baker Associates  
The Crescent Centre  
Temple Back  
Bristol  
BS1 6EZ

[www.bakerassocs.com](http://www.bakerassocs.com)

t 0117 933 8950  
f 0117 925 7714  
e [all@bakerassocs.com](mailto:all@bakerassocs.com)