

Appendix 8
Audit trails of site options

Table A8.1: Audit trail of site options proposed for residential development

Note that site options that are no longer reasonable alternatives and so are now excluded from the SA are shaded in grey.

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
Atherstone and Mancetter							
ATH1: Land adjacent South of Atherstone Station / Merevale Road	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site now formally designated as Local Wildlife Site. Also access issues. Some limited allotment use but most re-naturalised. LWS designation likely to prevent development.
ATH2: Adjacent to canal and South of Watling Street	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Access issues off Bracebridge Rd, Merevale View and Watling Street restrict potential. Approximately 50% in current allotment use.
ATH3: Land East of Sheepy Road	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Significant portion of site is affected by Flood zone 2 and 3; however, western element adjoining Sheepy Road and eastern element off Parkinson Drive are both outside flood zone area and are accessible.
ATH4: Land East of Holly Lane	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No individually (yes as part of ATH20)	ATH4 was split at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage because part of the site lies within a flood risk zone. Change in approach reflected

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							the planning application and subsequent negotiation between Applicant and Environment Agency over extent of Flood Zone. Change in approach is to reflect planning application and subsequent decision.
ATH4 (part): Land East of Old Holly Lane	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No individually, yes as part of ATH22 (see below)	No (whole area of ATH4 has been included within ATH20)	ATH4 was split at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage because part of the site lies within a flood risk zone. Change in approach reflected the planning application and subsequent negotiation between Applicant and Environment Agency over extent of Flood Zone (reduced). Change in approach is to reflect planning application and subsequent decision.
ATH5: Land West of Holly Lane	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No individually (yes as part of ATH20)	ATH5 was split at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage because part of the site lies within a flood risk zone. Change in approach reflected the planning application and subsequent negotiation between Applicant and Environment Agency over extent of Flood Zone

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							(reduced). Change in approach is to reflect planning application and subsequent decision.
ATH5 (part): Land West of Holly Lane	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No individually, yes as part of ATH22 (see below)	No (whole area of ATH5 has been included within ATH20)	ATH5 was split at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage because part of the site lies within a flood risk zone. Change in approach reflected the planning application and subsequent negotiation between Applicant and Environment Agency over extent of Flood Zone (reduced). Change in approach is to reflect planning application and subsequent decision.
ATH7	Residential	No	Yes	No	No	No	Site was not subject to SA as a reasonable option at Draft or Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stages as it already had planning permission. It has since been developed and so remains as not a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
ATH8	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No individually, yes as part of ATH22 (see below).	No individually (part of ATH20)	Change in approach reflected the planning application and subsequent negotiation between Applicant and Environment Agency over

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							extent of Flood Zone (reduced). Change in approach is to reflect planning application and subsequent decision.
ATH9: Land at Westwood/ Herring Road	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Site was not taken forward at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage as it lies within a Local Wildlife Site.
ATH10	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. It has since been developed and so remains as not a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
ATH11	Residential	No	No	No	No	No	Site was not subject to SA as a reasonable option at Draft or Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stages as it already had planning permission. It has since been developed and so remains as not a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
ATH12	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. It has since been developed and so remains as not a reasonable alternative option for allocation.

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
ATH13	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Site is no longer considered a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha so is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
ATH14: Atherstone Football Ground	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	The difficulty of both addressing the issue of the current use, identifying a potential alternative / replacement provision and that the site is partially affected by flood zones 2 and 3 meant the likelihood of the site coming forward in the early plan period was doubtful. However, the value of the site as a development opportunity within the current built up area has meant the site has been retained and re-allocated.
ATH16	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. This remains the case; therefore site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
ATH17	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Site is no longer considered a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha so is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
ATH18:	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	The site is the subject of a

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
Britannia Mill, Coleshill Road							current planning application pending, Decision expected in December 2016. Allocation reflects application expectation (50+ units proposed).
ATH19	Residential	No	Yes	No	No	No	Site was not subject to SA as a reasonable option at Draft or Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stages as it already had planning permission. It has since been developed and so remains as not a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
ATH20: Land at Holly Lane (ATH4, ATH5 and ATH8)	Residential	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Change in approach and increased allocation area reflected the submitted planning application and subsequent negotiation between Applicant and Environment Agency over extent of Flood Zone (reduced). Change in approach is to reflect area covered by planning application and subsequent decision.
ATH21	Residential	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	Yes	Yes	No	Site is no longer considered a reasonable alternative option because it is no longer available (now a private dwelling) so is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
ATH22:	Residential	N/A – site options	N/A – site options	Yes	Yes	No	This area reflected an

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
Land at Holly Lane (ATH4 (part), 5 (part) and 8)		were treated separately at this stage, see above.	were treated separately at this stage, see above.				amalgamation of the original area outside of the flood zone, covered by allocations ATH4 (part), ATH5 (part) and ATH8. This site (ATH22) was, in effect, a fall back reasonable alternative position in the event the larger site amalgamation ATH20 was not successful, and the changes to the flood zone areas under negotiation did not arise/ were not agreed.
ATH23: Land to north west of Atherstone off Whittington Lane	Residential	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	No	Area covered by ATH23 was formerly part of residential site allocation ATH5. However, Aldi purchased part of the ATH5 site and the subsequent residential planning application covered the remaining Holly Lane area but excluded this area. This area was identified as potential Aldi expansion land and has been therefore allocated in the New Local plan for employment purposes.
PS213	Residential	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	No	Larger site area (114ha) not proposed as impact on gap between Atherstone and Grendon with significant ribbon development frontage along A5. Note: Site also incorporates part of ATH6

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							employment reasonable alternative previously submitted (see employment site audit trail).
PS213 (Part): Land to north-west of Atherstone off Whittington Lane	Residential	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	Yes	New site proposal on land north west of Atherstone outside of floodplain to address housing need. Feasibility study required to address access issues.
MAN1	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it has now been developed so is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
MAN2	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it has now been developed so is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
MAN3	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	MAN3 was not taken forward as an allocation at Pre-Submission stage due to the findings of the strategic transport assessment – there are problems relating to access onto the B4116/A5, with adequate access visibility

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							distances not being achievable and there was a formal objection to the site from the highway authority. This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha so is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
PS187 Land at Nuneaton Road, Mancetter	Residential	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	No	Site has potential archaeological issues as lies within area identified as related to Mancetter Scheduled Roman remains, military and industrial. Long term potential may emerge via Neighbourhood Plan process, following archaeological investigation / assessment.
Polesworth and Dordon							
POL1	Residential	Yes	No	No	No	No	Site was no longer available by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. That remains the case so it is not considered to be a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Draft Local Plan.
POL2	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Draft Local Plan because it is under 0.2ha and now has

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							planning permission.
POL3: Former Polesworth High School	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No (larger area incorporating smaller original POL3 has been allocated).	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Draft Local Plan because it is under 0.2ha and now has planning permission.
POL3: Larger area including former Polesworth High School	Residential	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	Yes	The smaller original POL3 focussed on original Victorian School building and immediate curtilage/schoolyard. This allocation has been replaced by the larger POL3 area incorporating the remaining adjoining prefab education buildings and curtilage. Site is brownfield site.
POL4	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site no longer available/put forward. Multi ownership issues and applications on part of site prevent delivery of whole site currently.
POL5	Residential	Yes	No	No	No	No	Site was no longer available by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. That remains the case; therefore it is not a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Draft Local Plan.
POL6	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	At Draft Site Allocations Plan stage, POL6 was considered to be larger than necessary to

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							<p>meet Polesworth's housing requirements so it was split.</p> <p>The larger site area of full extent of POL6 was required by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage.</p> <p>This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it has since been developed, so it is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.</p>
POL6 (Part)	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	<p>At Draft Site Allocations Plan stage, POL6 was considered to be larger than necessary to meet Polesworth's housing requirements so it was split.</p> <p>The larger site area of full extent of POL6 was required by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. It has since been developed (see above) so this part of the site is no longer a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Draft Local Plan.</p>
POL7: Land at Laurel Avenue/ Common	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No individually, yes as part of Land to east of Polesworth &	The New local plan proposal is seeking to avoid piecemeal development of the whole site. A comprehensive approach via

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
Lane						Dordon (POL/DOR1).	a Development Brief or similar is sought, including an overall agreement for addressing the infrastructure delivery (relief road) and consistent financial contributions to necessary infrastructure and services to be consistent and holistic across the whole Proposal area to avoid cherry picking of easily developable elements of the proposal, which would result in less services and infrastructure being achieved / delivered.
POL8: Land at Tamworth Road	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site has subsequently received planning consent for part development, see PAP/2015/0547 – Decision date – 28/10/15 – 5 units. Detailed Application pending PAP/2016/0510.
POL9: Land at Tamworth Road	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site has subsequently received planning consent for part development, see PAP/2015/0006 – Outline residential. Consent granted 16/03/15
POL10: Land at Pooley Lane/Old Tamworth Road	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site is located within the emerging Meaningful Gap/ Policy constraint. Restricting development within this Gap is proposed in the New Local

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							Plan.
POL11: East of Pooley Lane	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site is located within the emerging Meaningful Gap/ Policy constraint. Restricting development within this Gap is proposed in the New Local Plan.
POL12: Land west of Woodpack Farm	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Site allocation is carried forward as part of the New Local Plan allocations. Site is a sustainable location and draft LWS status has been removed.
POL13: Land at Rear Of Sycamore Avenue	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No individually, yes as part of Land to east of Polesworth & Dordon (POL/DOR1).	The New local plan proposal is seeking to avoid piecemeal development of the whole site. A comprehensive approach via a Development Brief or similar is sought, including an overall agreement for addressing the infrastructure delivery (relief road) and consistent financial, contributions to necessary infrastructure and services to be consistent and holistic across the whole Proposal area to avoid cherry picking of easily developable elements of the proposal, which would result in less services and infrastructure being achieved/delivered.
DOR/POL16A : Land at Dordon / Polesworth	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No individually, yes as part of Land to east of Polesworth & Dordon (POL/DOR1).	
DOR/POL16B : Land at Rear Of Bardon View Road/ Whitehouse Road	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No individually, yes as part of Land to east of Polesworth & Dordon (POL/DOR1).	

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
POL17	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	This site is not considered to be a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Draft Local Plan because it could accommodate fewer than 5 dwellings.
POL18: Land at Rear Of 5/7 Fairfield's Hill	Residential	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	Yes	Yes	Yes	Site has subsequently received planning consent for part development, see PAP/2015/0634 – Outline residential 10 units on 15/06/2016. Therefore, the site will be removed from Plan at next consultation stage.
DOR1	Residential	Yes	No	No	No	No	Site was not taken forward as a Preferred Option in the Draft Site Allocations Plan due to concerns about access, size and layout. Site was not subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage as it had since been developed. Therefore, it is no longer a reasonable alternative for allocation in the Local Plan.
DOR2	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site was taken forward as a Preferred Option in the Draft Site Allocations Plan as it was within the development boundary and there was a recently granted planning permission on the site.

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							Site was not subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage as it had since got planning permission. Therefore, it is not a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Local Plan.
DOR3	Residential	Yes	No	No	No	No	<p>Site was not taken forward as a Preferred Option at the Draft Site Allocations Plan stage due to concerns regarding access.</p> <p>Site was not subject to SA as a reasonable option at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage as it had since been partly developed and the remaining area was amalgamated with site DOR7. Therefore, it is not a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Local Plan.</p>
DOR4	Residential	Yes	No	No	No	No	<p>Site was not taken forward as a Preferred Option at the Draft Site Allocations Plan stage due to concerns regarding access.</p> <p>Site was not subject to SA as a reasonable option at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage as it had since been developed. Therefore, it is not</p>

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Local Plan.
DOR5: Land at Dunns Lane	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No individually, yes in combination with DOR17 (as DOR26).	No individually, yes as part of Land to east of Polesworth & Dordon (POL/DOR1).	<p>DOR5 was combined with DOR17 at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (both of the separate parts previously known as DOR17 east and DOR17 west) and the amalgamated site was then known as DOR26 (see below).</p> <p>The New local plan proposal is seeking to avoid piecemeal development of the whole site. A comprehensive approach via a Development Brief or similar is sought, including an overall agreement for addressing the infrastructure delivery (relief road) and consistent financial, contributions to necessary infrastructure and services to be consistent and holistic across the whole Proposal area to avoid cherry picking of easily developable elements of the proposal, which would result in less services and infrastructure being achieved / delivered.</p>
DOR6 (comprised DOR3 and	Residential	Yes	No	No	No	No	Site was not subject to SA as a reasonable option at Pre-Submission Site Allocations

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
DOR4 plus a small area in between which effectively joins the two sites to make a larger site).							Plan stage as it had since been partly developed and the remaining area was amalgamated with site DOR7. Therefore, it is no longer a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Local Plan.
DOR7: Land at Dunns Lane	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No individually, yes as part of Land to east of Polesworth & Dordon (POL/DOR1).	The New local plan proposal is seeking to avoid piecemeal development of the whole site. A comprehensive approach via a Development Brief or similar is sought, including an overall agreement for addressing the infrastructure delivery (relief road) and consistent financial, contributions to necessary infrastructure and services to be consistent and holistic across the whole Proposal area to avoid cherry picking of easily developable elements of the proposal, which would result in less services and infrastructure being achieved / delivered.
DOR9/GRE9: Part of Land off Watling Street	Residential	Yes as GRE9, see Grendon site options further ahead in this table.	Yes as GRE9, see Grendon site options further ahead in this table.	Yes	No	No	DOR9/GRE9 was known at the Draft Site Allocations Plan stage as GRE9 but was renamed at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage to

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							<p>reflect the fact that most of the site is actually within the Dordon area.</p> <p>Site is partially affected by Flood zone 2 and 3 at potential access points off Spon Lane and Grendon roundabout. Site not required for additional housing and would result in merging the two settlements of Dordon and Grendon, reinforcing and creating further ribbon development along frontage of A5/Watling Street between the two settlements current built form. Site is also close to the new Dordon Sewage treatment plant off Spon Lane.</p>
DOR12	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site was not subject to SA as a reasonable option at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage as it was subject to a planning application at that stage. It has since had consent so it is no longer a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Local Plan.
DOR16C: Land at Rear Of Dunns Lane	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No individually, yes as part of Land to east of Polesworth &	Site was not taken forward on its own as a Preferred Option at the Draft Site Allocations Plan stage due to concerns

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
DOR16D: Land at Rear Of Church Lane	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	Dordon (POL/DOR1). No individually, yes as part of Land to east of Polesworth & Dordon (POL/DOR1).	regarding access. However, combined with other sites to form larger proposal, access to the site could be achieved easily using other land/sites surrounding. Also the New Local Plan proposal is seeking to avoid piecemeal development of the whole site. A comprehensive approach via a Development Brief or similar is sought, including an overall agreement for addressing the infrastructure delivery (relief road) and consistent financial, contributions to necessary infrastructure and services to be consistent and holistic across the whole Proposal area to avoid cherry picking of easily developable elements of the proposal, which would result in less services and infrastructure being achieved / delivered.
DOR17 (East)	Residential	Yes	Yes	No individually, yes in combination with DOR5 and DOR17 (west) (as DOR26)	No individually, yes in combination with DOR5 and DOR17 (west) (as DOR26)	No individually, yes as part of Land to east of Polesworth & Dordon (POL/DOR1).	DOR17 (east) did not comprise a reasonable option at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage without the remainder of the new combined site DOR26 so was not subject to SA individually.

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							<p>It was allocated in the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan in combination with DOR5 and DOR17 (west) and the combined site was renamed DOR26. The eastern area of DOR17 is partly impacted by LWS designation on the former Orchard Colliery spoil tip and development on this area is to be avoided. Potential of site to come forward as part of larger proposal to provide open space/recreation and ecological enhancement opportunities. The New local plan proposal is seeking to avoid piecemeal development of the whole site. A comprehensive approach via a Development Brief or similar is sought, including an overall agreement for addressing the infrastructure delivery (relief road) and consistent financial, contributions to necessary infrastructure and services to be consistent and holistic across the whole Proposal area to avoid cherry picking of easily developable elements of the proposal, which would result in less services and infrastructure being achieved /</p>

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							delivered. Therefore this site is not considered to be a reasonable alternative.
DOR17 (West)	Residential	Yes	Yes	No individually, yes in combination with DOR5 and DOR17 (east) (as DOR26)	No individually, yes in combination with DOR5 and DOR17 (east) (as DOR26)	No individually, yes as part of Land to east of Polesworth & Dordon (POL/DOR1).	DOR17 (west) did not comprise a reasonable option at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage without the remainder of the new combined site DOR26 so was not subject to SA individually. It was allocated in the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan in combination with DOR5 and DOR17 (east) and the combined site was renamed DOR26. The western area of DOR17 is partly impacted by LWS designation on the former Orchard Colliery site and development on this area is to be avoided. Potential of part of site to come forward as part of larger proposal to provide open space/recreation and ecological enhancement opportunities. The New local plan proposal is seeking to avoid piecemeal development of the whole site. A comprehensive approach via a Development Brief or similar is sought, including an overall agreement for addressing the infrastructure delivery (relief

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							road) and consistent financial, contributions to necessary infrastructure and services to be consistent and holistic across the whole Proposal area to avoid cherry picking of easily developable elements of the proposal, which would result in less services and infrastructure being achieved / delivered. Therefore this site is not considered to be a reasonable alternative.
DOR18: Land East of Gypsy Lane	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site was previously included as part of an employment site allocation in the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (DOR18/19/20) – that site is no longer allocated in the Draft Local Plan due to flooding issues and because it is not required for employment development.
DOR19: Dordon Sewerage Works	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site was previously included as part of an employment site allocation in the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (DOR18/19/20) – that site is no longer allocated in the Draft Local Plan due to flooding issues and because it is not required for employment development. T

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
DOR20	Mixed use (residential and employment)	Yes	No	Yes	No (for mixed use development), yes for employment	No	Site was an arbitrary part selection /subdivision of the above sites DOR18 & 19 to address a specific employment need and considered as part of an employment site allocation in the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (DOR18/19/20). However, this site is no longer allocated in the Draft Local Plan due to flooding issues and because it is not required for employment development. It is not considered necessary to treat as DOR20 as an individual Reasonable Alternative site due to the fact that the assessment of both DOR18 and DOR19 cover the same land area.
DOR21	Residential	No	No	No	No	No	This site was no longer available by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. A recently improved play space now included in protected Open Spaces sites / maps. Therefore this site is not considered to be a reasonable alternative.
DOR23: Chapel House, Dunns Lane	Residential	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	Yes	Yes	Yes	Site has subsequently received planning consent for part development; see PAP/2015/0215 – 8 units.

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							Consent granted post 03/08/16, after decision at LDF Committee to maintain site as a formal allocation. Site will be removed from Plan at next consultation stage.
DOR25: Windy Ridge, Dunns Lane	Residential	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	Yes	Yes	Yes	Enquiry on site redevelopment (9 units) and access consent approved 03/08/2016 (PAP/2015/0126). Site maintained as a formal allocation. Detailed planning application for residential development not yet submitted.
DOR26: Residential and Open Space (DOR5 and DOR17)	Mixed use (residential and open space)	No – options were treated separately at this stage.	No – options were treated separately at this stage.	Yes	Yes - for mixed-use development (residential and open space)	No individually, yes as part of Land to east of Polesworth & Dordon (POL/DOR1).	The New local plan proposal is seeking to avoid piecemeal development of the whole site. A comprehensive approach via a Development Brief or similar is sought, including an overall agreement for addressing the infrastructure delivery (relief road) and consistent financial, contributions to necessary infrastructure and services to be consistent and holistic across the whole Proposal area to avoid cherry picking of easily developable elements of the proposal, which would result in less services and infrastructure being achieved /

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							delivered.
DOR27: Land at Chestnuts Watling Street	Residential	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	Yes	Yes	No	Site has subsequently received planning consent for part development on 26/03/15; see PAP/2015/0067 – 3 units. Site included as RA to reflect potential need for frontage access/visibility improvements for DOR26 site above, which this site adjoins, and/or potential for inclusion in larger site, but allowing for fact that part site can still be developed in isolation, reflecting the planning consent.
PS144	Residential	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	Yes	No	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is now under construction, so it is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
PS158: Land at Robeys Lane, Alvecote, Polesworth	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No, but yes in Part – see POL23 below.	Site as a whole not allocated; however, the part of the Site between the Tamworth Golf Course development and land West of Robey's Lane (part of Category 2 element of settlement hierarchy Policy LP2 and Site Proposals LP39). Access sought to be via the Golf Course development only. Part of a larger development site area, but only land to West

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							of Robeys Lane is proposed. Site immediately adjoins Tamworth's current built development area and new services provided at Golf Course site. Remaining area falls within Meaningful Gap and is not proposed to be included as a proposal for development.
POL/DOR1: Land to east of Polesworth & Dordon (DOR26, POL7, POL13, DOR7, POL/DOR16A , B,C & D, DOR17 East and DOR17 West)	Residential	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	Yes	This proposal is a combination of a number of earlier proposed sites and safeguarded areas with additional land brought forward (multiple ownership). The New local plan proposal is seeking to avoid piecemeal development of the whole site. A comprehensive approach via a Development Brief or similar is sought, including an overall agreement for addressing the infrastructure delivery (relief road) and consistent financial, contributions to necessary infrastructure and services to be consistent and holistic across the whole Proposal area to avoid cherry picking of easily developable elements of the proposal, which would result in less services and infrastructure being achieved /

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							delivered.
Adjacent Adjoining Settlements							
POL23: Land West of Robeys Lane, adjacent Tamworth	Residential	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	Yes	Site between current Tamworth Golf Course development and land west of Robey's Lane (part of Category 2 element of settlement hierarchy Policy LP2 and Site Proposals LP39). Access to be via the Golf Course development only. Part of a larger development site area, but only land to West of Robey's Lane is proposed. Site immediately adjoins Tamworth's current built development area and new services provided at Golf Course site.
WIS1: Site at Lindridge Road adjacent Langley Sue, Wishaw	Residential	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	N/A – site option came forward after this stage.	Yes	Site between current allocated development in Birmingham (Langley SUE) and A38 (allocated as part of Category 2 element of settlement hierarchy Policy LP2 and Site Proposals LP39). Access to be via the Langley SUE development only. Part of a larger development site area. Site immediately adjoins Birmingham's current built development area (Lindridge Rd/Langley Hall Sutton

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							Coldfield) and services. Currently in Green Belt and proposed to be taken out of GB.
Coleshill							
COL1: Land at Grimstock Hill, Lichfield Road	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Site has subsequently received planning consent for part development; see PAP/2015/0584 – Outline 24 units. Consent granted post 03/08/16. LDF Sub-Committee decision at that 3 rd Aug date was to carry site forward as an allocation.
COL2	Residential	No	No	No	No	No	Site was not appraised as a reasonable option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage as it lies within the safeguarded area for HS2. This remains the case so it is not considered to be a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Local Plan.
COL3: Police Station and Leisure Centre Site	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (with slightly reduced area)	Yes	Area of allocated site was reduced slightly at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage due to part of the site no longer being available. Site allocation carried forward in part to reflect availability of Former Leisure Centre following replacement elsewhere and Police station site area. Police Station site

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							has recently received consent for development (C2 and Flats see PAP/2016/0249) and former Leisure Centre purchase/disposal underway. (Application expected). Site only benefits from part consent. Consent granted post 03/08/16. LDF Sub-Committee decision at that 3 rd August date was to carry site forward as an allocation.
COL4	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. The site has since been developed so is not a reasonable option for allocation in the Local Plan.
COL5	Residential	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Site was not subject to SA as a reasonable option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage as there was an existing planning consent on part of the site. Planning consent on part of COL5 had not been implemented by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage and the Council became aware that the whole site may now be available, so it was subject to SA and selected for allocation at that stage.

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Draft Local Plan because it is below 0.2ha.
COL5 (part a)	Residential	No	Yes	No	No	No	<p>Site was not subject to SA as a reasonable option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage as there was an existing planning consent on the site.</p> <p>Planning consent on part of COL5 had not been implemented by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage and the Council became aware that the whole site may now be available, so the whole site was subject to SA and allocated, see above. This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Draft Local Plan because it is below 0.2ha.</p>
COL5 (part b)	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	<p>Planning consent on part of COL5 had not been implemented by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage and the Council had become aware that the whole site may now be available, so it</p>

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							was subject to SA and allocated, see above. This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Draft Local Plan because it is below 0.2ha.
COL6: Land at Blythways	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Availability of site recently brought forward sooner than expected. Site is in sustainable location well related to existing built form, town services and facilities. Part brownfield and part greenfield.
COL7	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because site has been granted consent and is under construction, so it is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan. Planning Consent PAP/2012/0192 development now near completed.
COL8	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							below 0.2ha so it is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
COL9	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha so it is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
COL10	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Site was not included in the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan due to potential access issues. This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha so it is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
COL11	Residential	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	Yes	No	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is no longer available so it is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
PS153: Land at Packington	Residential	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No	Site lies within Green Belt. Green Belt release not proposed for Coleshill.

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
Lane, Coleshill							
COL12 Allotments adjacent to Memorial Park, Coleshill	Residential	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	Yes	Site lies within Development Boundary adjoining a current Allocated Site (COL3) and the recreation / open space at the Memorial Park, in a sustainable location close to other services and facilities. New site that's availability has come forward recently.
COL13: Land off Hawkeswell Lane, Coleshill	Residential	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No	Site lies within Green Belt. Green Belt release not proposed for Coleshill.
SLA16: Land off Maxstoke Lane, Coleshill	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Site lies within Green Belt. Green Belt release not proposed for Coleshill.
SLA59: Land at Blythe Road, Coleshill	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Site lies within Green Belt. Green Belt release not proposed for Coleshill.
Grendon and Baddesley Ensor							
BE1	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site lies partly within Flood Zone 2 and 3 off Penmire Brook. Access onto A5 Trunk Road a significant issue close to Grendon Roundabout.

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							Frontage site would re-inforce inappropriate ribbon development along Watling Street between Dordon and Grendon.
BE2	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Land at rear of former youth centre/new Grendon Community Centre, extends into gap between Dordon and Grendon. Seeking to avoid coalescence of settlements.
BE3	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Current well defined tree screened site adjoining former youth centre/new Grendon Community Centre, well related to settlement and does not impact on gap between Dordon and Grendon.
BE4	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Land at rear of St Nicholas Estate on hillside gradient with reasonably significant visual prominence and impact (moderate sensitivity in LCA), extends into gap between Dordon and Grendon. Seeking to avoid coalescence of settlements.
BE5	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Land at Hilltop, on hillside gradient with significant visual prominence and impact (high sensitivity in LCA), not well related to existing settlement and would extend built form at

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							an isolated/unrelated prominent location.
BE6	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha so it is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
BE7	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No individually although part has been allocated with part of BE8 as BE7/8	Site extends into area identified as high sensitivity in LCA and would impact on views between, into and out of Listed Church and listed property, Church House on the Common. Area to lower part of field adjoining BE8 partially screened (trees/hedges) and less visually intrusive so opportunity to include as part of extended BE8 area/site.
BE8	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No individually although part has been allocated with part of BE8 as BE7/8	Site predominantly covers the current built form of the former Church farm site, well screened by trees and hedges and including pond and pig field. Currently part commercial use or derelict. Incorporated as part of larger site with adjoining land.
BE7/8 (amalgamation of parts of	Residential	N/A – site options were treated separately at this stage, see above.	N/A – site options were treated separately at this stage, see above.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Site predominantly covers the current built form of the former Church farm site, well screened by trees and hedges and

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
BE8 and part of BE7)							including less visually intrusive element of BE7 (southern half of BE7 field) partially screened (trees/hedges). Currently part commercial use or derelict and agricultural use. Sustainable location, part brownfield and well related to settlement.
BE9	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because the owner wanted it to be removed from the Local Plan process so it is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
BE10	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it has planning permission and has now been developed so it is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
BE11	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha so it is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan. However, Development Boundary amended to include

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							frontage site area onto Meadow Gardens as infill opportunity. Sustainable location well related to settlement.
BE12	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Area of current and former allotments, and dwelling on gradient/hillside immediately adjoining Baddesley Common and LWS. Access issues to site and potential impact on Common.
BE13	Residential	No	No	No	No	No	Site was not subject to SA as a reasonable option at either Draft or Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stages as it did not adjoin the settlement boundary for Baddesley Ensor. It is still not a reasonable alternative option for this reason.
GRE1	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Site of former Sparrowdale School awaiting redevelopment pending S77 notice expiry for former school playing fields, due in mid-2017. Within current development boundary.
GRE2	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Former Grendon recycling centre now relocated and site available. Site marketed and to be included as development opportunity and proposal with Sparrowdale School. Within

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							current development boundary.
GRE3	Residential	Yes	No	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. Therefore, it is not a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Local Plan.
GRE4	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	The area of GRE4 was reduced slightly when it was included in the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan to reflect the fact that part of the site now had planning permission. Unlike other sites elsewhere that have planning permission, it was included as an allocation as planning consent was granted only recently and so the site was not taken into account when the housing requirements in Grendon and Baddesley Ensor were calculated. Site with Planning Consent currently under construction. Remaining area of GRE4 not proposed for inclusion in Plan. Issue of access/highway safety for pedestrians across A5 to access settlement facilities (school, hall, pub/library etc.) still considered of concern. Limited services/facilities

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							available in Grendon, north of A5.
GRE4 (Part)	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	<p>At Draft Site Allocations Plan stage, GRE4 was reduced in size from the area that was originally submitted as an option – the Council felt that residential development at the smaller site would better relate to the existing built up area.</p> <p>At Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage GRE4 (part), which was previously considered as a reasonable alternative site, was not subject to SA as a reasonable option as it was entirely within the area of GRE4 that had planning permission and which had been allocated in the Plan. The site still has planning permission at this stage so is not considered to be a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Draft Local Plan.</p>
GRE5	Residential	Yes	No	No	No	No	Site was no longer available by the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. This remains the case so is not considered to be a reasonable alternative option for allocation

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							in the Draft Local Plan.
GRE6	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Main issue is difficulty of access along adopted narrow private road.
GRE7	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Main issue is difficulty of access along unadopted narrow private road. Also site is identified as potential LWS - Black Riding Wood and Paddock.
GRE8	Residential	Yes	No	No	No	No	Site was no longer available by the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. This remains the case so the site is not considered a reasonable alternative for allocation in the Local Plan.
GRE10 (Spring Farm, Watling Street (formally PS177))	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	Yes	No	No	Site is a large gap in an otherwise built up frontage of ribbon development. Not allocated to avoid reinforcing ribbon development along A5 and borders designated Local Wildlife Site at Grendon Wood and potential LWS sites at Grendon Fishing pools and Black Ridding woods.
PS214 Land off the Crescent	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Land at rear of The Crescent Estate on slight gradient with reasonable visual prominence and impact (moderate sensitivity in LCA), extends

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							into gap between Dordon and Grendon. Seeking to avoid coalescence of settlements. Access to site difficult.
Hartshill with Ansley Common							
HAR1	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because the planning application on the site was refused (Appeal dismissed) and the site subsequently withdrawn from the Local Plan process so it is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan. Determination for demolition of part of current industrial buildings has however been granted - PAP/2012/0399
HAR2	Residential	Yes	No	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is no longer available so it is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
HAR3	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Site maintained as allocation for Hartshill in a sustainable location with potential for transport route

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							improvements/relief road and support for education services (Secondary School primarily).
HAR4	Residential	No	No	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by Draft Site Allocations Plan stage. This remains the case so the site is not a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Local Plan.
HAR5 (Land adjacent to Elms Way)	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No individually, yes as part of HAR8, 5 and 9 and ANSCOM3. Now a new combined site ANSCOMM/HAR1	This proposal is part of a combination of a number of sites previously submitted in multiple ownership. The New Local Plan proposal is seeking to help regenerate the Ansley Common / Hartshill area but want to avoid piecemeal development of the whole site. A comprehensive approach via a feasibility study, Development Brief or similar is sought, including an overall agreement for addressing the infrastructure delivery (access road) and consistent financial, contributions to necessary infrastructure and services (primary school, open space/recreation facilities) to be consistent across the whole Proposal area to avoid cherry picking of easily developable elements of the proposal,

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							which would result in less services and infrastructure being achieved/delivered.
HAR6	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	It is not proposed to include this site in order to avoid /discourage any potential for enabling access onto Oldbury Road which is considered of insufficient standard (size, width, junctions' etc.) to accommodate the level of growth potential from adjoining land / allocations.
HAR7	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option in order to avoid/discourage any potential for enabling access onto Oldbury Road which is considered of insufficient standard (size, width, junctions' etc.) to accommodate the level of growth potential from site and adjoining land/allocations, so it is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan. Site is also designated as of Local Geological Importance and is impacted by presence of Ancient Monument and Country Park opposite the site.
HAR8	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No individually,	This proposal is part of a

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
						yes as part of HAR 8, 5 and 9 and ANSCOM3. Now a new combined site ANSCOMM/HAR1.	combination of a number of sites previously submitted in multiple ownership. The New Local Plan proposal is seeking to help regenerate the Ansley Common / Hartshill area but want to avoid piecemeal development of the whole site. A comprehensive approach via a feasibility study, Development Brief or similar is sought, including an overall agreement for addressing the infrastructure delivery (access road) and consistent financial, contributions to necessary infrastructure and services (primary school, open space/recreation facilities) to be consistent across the whole Proposal area to avoid cherry picking of easily developable elements of the proposal, which would result in less services and infrastructure being achieved/delivered.
HAR8 (part)	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No (although the larger area of HAR8 is allocated as part of HAR8, 5 and 9 and ANSCOM3. Now a new combined site ANSCOMM/HAR1.	
HAR9	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No individually, yes as part of HAR8, 5 and 9 and ANSCOM3. Now a new combined site ANSCOMM/HAR1.	
HAR10	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it now has planning permission.
HAR11	Residential	No – site option came forward	No – site option came forward after	Yes	No	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
		after this stage	this stage				alternative option because it now has planning permission.
ANSCOMM/HAR1	Residential	No – options were treated separately prior to this stage.	No – options were treated separately prior to this stage.	No – options were treated separately prior to this stage.	No – options were treated separately prior to this stage.	Yes	The reference to HAR 8, 5 and 9 refers to the combination of these 3 sites to create the new ANSCOMM/HAR1 larger site proposal in the new local plan. Feasibility Study required for New Local Plan Proposal, targeting new access and regeneration of local area/economy and community.
ANSCOM1	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Site still retained as an allocation, however current application has received confirmation in principle (at Committee) that planning consent is approved subject to S106 agreement (72 units) – See - PAP/2016/0199. Decision still pending. Allocation maintained in meantime.
ANSCOM2	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Not allocated. Highway issues. Site on inner corner of Coleshill Rd B4114 at high speed entrance point into Ansley Common, and would extend ribbon development west along B4114.
ANSCOM3	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No individually, yes as part of HAR8, 5 and 9 and ANSCOM3.	The reference to HAR 8, 5 and 9 with ANSCOM3 refers to the combination of these sites to create the new

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
						Now a new combined site ANSCOMM/HAR1.	ANSCOMM/HAR1 larger site proposal in the New Local Plan. A Feasibility Study is required for the New Local Plan Proposal, targeting new access and regeneration of local area/economy and community.
ANSCOM4	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No, not individually, yes as part of ANSCOMM4, ANSCOMM 5 (Part) and PS139. Now a new combined site ANSCOM/HAR2.	This site (all of it) is included within and forms part of the larger site titled land south of Coleshill Road, Ansley Common, in LP39 and titled ANSCOM / HAR2.
ANSCOM5	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No (although a smaller area has been allocated, see below.)	Part of this site is included within and forms part of the larger site titled land south of Coleshill Road, Ansley Common, in LP39 and titled ANSCOM/HAR2.
ANSCOM5 (Part)	Residential	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No, not individually, yes as part of ANSCOMM4, ANSCOMM 5 (Part) and PS139. Now a new combined site ANSCOM/HAR2.	Site is part of a larger allocation combining this small element of ANSCOM5 with ANSCOM4, NWBC owned or controlled land off St Johns Close and Bretts Hall Estate and PS139. Access to this site alone would be difficult to achieve without adjoining sites/land being part of a comprehensive proposal.

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
ANSCOM/HAR2	Residential	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	Yes as ANSCOMM4, ANSCOMM 5 (Part) and PS139. Now a new combined site ANSCOM/HAR2.	The combination of these 3 sites, ANSCOMM4, ANSCOMM 5 (Part) and PS139, is to create the new ANSCOMM/HAR2 larger site proposal in the new local plan. Feasibility Study required for New Local Plan Proposal, targeting new access and regeneration of local area/economy and community.
PS139 – Land to the south of Coleshill Road, Ansley Common	Residential	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	Yes - Including sites ANSCOM 4, ANSCOM5 (part) and PS139.	The combination of these sites ANSCOMM4, ANSCOMM 5 (Part) and PS139, is to create the new ANSCOMM/HAR2 larger site proposal in the new local plan (MD). Feasibility Study required for New Local Plan Proposal, targeting new access and regeneration of local area/economy and community.
Old and New Arley							
ARL1	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Site is no longer considered a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha so is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
ARL2	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Site is no longer considered a reasonable alternative option because site sold and former school now re-opened as ARC School in continued education use, so is not allocated in the

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							Draft Local Plan.
ARL3	Residential	No	No	Yes	No	No	Site had planning permission by Draft Site Allocations Plan stage. It has since been developed so is not a reasonable alternative.
ARL4	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site had been retained for employment use by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. This remains the case so the site is not considered to be a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Local Plan.
ARL5	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Site is no longer considered a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha so is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.
ARL6	Residential	No	No	Yes	No	No	Site had planning permission by Draft Site Allocations Plan stage. This remains the case; therefore it is not considered as a reasonable alternative option for allocation in the Local Plan.
ARL7	Residential	No	Yes	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by Draft Site Allocations Plan stage. Site is no longer considered a reasonable alternative option

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							because it has now been developed.
ARL8	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Site is no longer considered a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha.
ARL9	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Site was not included in the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan due to access issues - land at the rear was previously to be used for accessing the site, but was no longer available. Site is no longer considered a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha.
ARL10	Residential	No	No	Yes	No	No	Site had been developed by Draft Site Allocations Plan stage. Therefore it is not a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
SLA62	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Site within Green Belt. Large site, scale of which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach. Not included/proposed in Neighbourhood Plan.
PS08 Land at New Arley	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Site within Green Belt. Large site, scale of which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							policy approach. Not included/proposed in Neighbourhood Plan.
PS62 Land at Gun Hill, Arley	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Former ambulance station site currently in domestic use/dwelling within Green Belt. Some access issues. Access onto inside curve of fast access road/Gun Hill into Arley. Not included/proposed in Neighbourhood Plan.
PS120a Land at Ansley Lane, Arley	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Small site within Green Belt. Accessed via unadopted private road. Not included/proposed in Neighbourhood Plan.
PS164 Fir Tree Farm, Arley	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Late submission, site currently within Green Belt outside of development boundary. Not included/proposed in Neighbourhood Plan.
Kingsbury							
KIN1	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site was no longer available by the Pre-Submission Draft Site Allocations Plan stage. This remains the case; therefore the site is not considered to be a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
KIN2	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it could accommodate fewer than

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							5 dwellings.
KIN3	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site was no longer available by the Pre-Submission Draft Site Allocations Plan stage. This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha.
KIN4	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is no longer available.
KIN5	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is no longer available.
KIN6	Residential	Yes	No	No	No	No	Site was no longer available by the Pre-Submission Draft Site Allocations Plan stage. This remains the case; therefore it is not a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
KIN7	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha.
KIN8	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha.
KIN9: Land	Residential	No – site option	No – site option	No – site option	No – site option	Yes	Site includes Kingsbury Hall

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
north of Kingsbury Hall		came forward after this stage	came forward after this stage	came forward after this stage	came forward after this stage		and current consent for development and targets/enables further development to secure future restoration/repair of significant listed building. Site well located to village services/ sustainable location. Some access issues to address.
SLA40: Land to the west of Tamworth Road, Kingsbury	Residential	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No	Site within Green Belt. Outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach. Identified as potential long term option through proposed removal from Green Belt.
SLA40 Part Land at Ralph Crescent, Kingsbury	Residential	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No	Site within Green Belt. Outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach. Identified as potential long term option through proposed removal from Green Belt.
SLA139 Land at Coventry Road, Kingsbury	Residential	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No	Site within Green Belt. Outside of development boundary, partly impacted by Flood Zone 2 and 3, particularly at access point.

Water Orton

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
WO1	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site was no longer available by the Pre-Submission Draft Site Allocations Plan stage. The site has since been developed and is therefore not a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
WO2	Residential	No	No	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by the Draft Site Allocations Plan stage. The planning consent has since lapsed. As only part of site impacted by flood zone, it remains a reasonable alternative option. However, a significant proportion of the site is within a flood plain and is also part Village Green/Commons Land, which makes deliverability and availability questionable. Therefore, the site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative.
WO3	Residential	No	No	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by the Draft Site Allocations Plan stage. The site is currently under construction so is not a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
WO4	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be an allocation or reasonable alternative option because it is smaller

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							than 0.2ha. Nevertheless, site lies within current development boundary, principle for development established.
WO5	Residential	No	No	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by the Draft Site Allocations Plan stage. It has since been developed so is not a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
WO6	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be an allocation or reasonable alternative option because it is smaller than 0.2ha. Nevertheless, site lies within current development boundary, principle for development established.
WO7	Residential	No	No	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by the Draft Site Allocations Plan stage. It has since been developed so is not a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
WO8	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be an allocation or reasonable alternative option because it is smaller than 0.2ha. Nevertheless, site lies within current development boundary, principle for development established.

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
WO9	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is smaller than 0.2ha.
WO10: Former school redevelopment, Water Orton	Residential	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	Yes	Site and school is impacted by HS2 Delta Junction development and replacement school site for relocation is proposed. The element of site not directly impacted by HS2 is therefore a redevelopment opportunity. Proposal for redevelopment will need to address future presence of HS2 to south.
SLA116 Land off Plank Lane/Adjoining Birmingham Road, Water Orton	Residential	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No – site option came forward after this stage	No	Site within Green Belt. Outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
Ansley							
ANS1	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Original Site Allocation has been part delivered through planning application PAP/2015/0712 which is near completion. Remaining area of original allocation (0.6ha) retained in New Local Plan as a site allocation/proposal.

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
ANS1 (Part)	Residential	No	No	No	No	Yes (allocation covers area of original ANS1 that doesn't have planning permission).	Original Site Allocation has been part delivered through planning application PAP/2015/0712 which is near completion. Remaining area of original allocation (0.6ha) retained in New Local Plan as a site allocation/proposal.
ANS2	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site was considered to be too large for Ansley's housing needs and so was divided into parts A and B, see below.
ANS2 (Part A)	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Site is no longer considered a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha.
ANS2 (Part B) also known as ANS3	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Site outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
ANS4	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Site Allocation carried forward into New Local Plan as an allocation/proposal. Current planning application pending on site - PAP/2015/0692 for 34 Dwellings. No decision yet.
PS152	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	Yes	No	No	Site is no longer considered a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha. Site is within the development boundary so any development proposed here would come

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							forward as windfall.
PS231 Ansley Social Club and Land	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Site within Green Belt and outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
Austrey							
AUS1	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	AUS1 was split as it was considered to be too large for the development requirements at Austrey (see separate options below).
AUS1 (Part A)	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Site outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
AUS1 (Part B)	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Site outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
AUS2	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No individually, yes as part of AUS2/9	No	Site has been granted planning consent for 3 dwellings PAP/2014/0296. Development boundary amended to reflect consent. No necessity to indicate site as an RA, as amended Development Boundary noted.
AUS3	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	AUS3 was considered to be

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							larger than necessary for Austrey's housing requirements, and so the part of the site that is closest to Austrey and was considered to be well-related to the existing built form was considered as a separate option (known as AUS3 (part)).
AUS3 (part)	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No individually, yes as part of AUS4/3 (part)	No	AUS3 (part) was not subject to SA as a reasonable option individually at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage as access to the site would not be possible if it was not combined with AUS4.
AUS4	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No individually, yes as part of AUS4/3 (part)	No	The site now has planning permission as part of AUS4/3 (part) so is no longer a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
AUS4/3 (part) (this site combines AUS4 with AUS3 (part))	Residential	N/A – sites were treated as separate options at this stage.	N/A – sites were treated as separate options at this stage.	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option for allocation because it now has planning permission.
AUS5	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach. Site is no

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							longer considered a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha.
AUS6	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach. Appeal pending on Application refusal - PAP/2014/0446
AUS7	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Site has been granted outline planning consent (as part of a larger site) for 40 dwellings PAP/2014/0569. Development boundary amended to reflect consent. No necessity to indicate site as an RA, as amended Development Boundary noted.
AUS8	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
AUS9	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No individually, yes as part of AUS2/9	No	Site has been granted planning consent for 5 dwellings PAP/2016/0206 on 05/07/16, which is an extension to that granted for AUS2 above, prior to Aug 3 rd 2016. Development boundary amended to reflect consent. No necessity to indicate site as an RA, as

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							amended Development Boundary noted.
AUS2/9 (this comprises AUS2 and AUS9)	Residential	N/A – sites were treated as separate options at this stage.	N/A – sites were treated as separate options at this stage.	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option for allocation because it now has planning permission.
AUS10	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site was no longer available by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. This remains the case; therefore it is not a reasonable option for allocation.
AUS11	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach. (Approximately 0.38 of site has recently been granted consent at Appeal for 10 dwellings, site not brought within development boundary to avoid / discourage potential and pressure for remaining area to be developed. See note above.)
AUS12	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	Yes	No	No	Site was not allocated in the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan because the Council considered that the site was less suitable than other options in terms of access to services

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							etc. In addition, the site lies outside of the development boundary, which does not conform to the current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
AUS14 (this site is an amalgamation of AUS1 (part b) and AUS7 plus a new site known as AUS15).	Residential and open space	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	Yes	Yes	No	This site was allocated and appraised at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage for mixed use (residential and open space) development. This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it now has planning permission.
AUS15	Residential and open space	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	Yes	No	No	AUS15/PS143 Site has been granted planning consent for 2 dwellings PAP/2014/0626. Development boundary amended to reflect consent.
PS170A	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	Yes	No	No	Site was not allocated in the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan because the Council considered that the site was less suitable than other options in terms of access to services etc. Part of site now benefits from planning consent for 40 dwellings PAP/2014/0569. Development boundary amended to reflect consent

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							area. Remaining element of PS170A lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
PS170B	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	Yes	No	No	Site was not allocated in the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan because the Council considered that the site was less suitable than other options in terms of access to services etc. The site also lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
PS170C	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	Yes	No	No	Site was not allocated in the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan because the Council considered that the site was less suitable than other options in terms of access to services etc. Site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
PS208 (Part of AUS8) Land South	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
of Windmill Lane, Austrey							hierarchy policy approach. Site is larger than, and incorporates wholly sit AUS8.
PS225 Land off Appleby Hill, Austrey	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
PS226 Land at Appleby Hill/Windmill Lane, Austrey	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Large site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
Curdworth							
CUR1	Residential	Yes	No	No	No	No	CUR1 was also considered as a reasonable option for both employment and mixed-use (residential and employment) development and so was not taken forward as part of the preferred option for residential development at Curdworth at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage. By Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage it had been retained for employment use. This remains the case so it is not a reasonable alternative option for residential development.
CUR2	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site had planning permission

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							by the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. It has since been developed so is not a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
CUR3	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Application for Sheltered Housing on site has been withdrawn. Site lies partly within the Green Belt and outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach. Area within Development Boundary is less than 0.2ha.
CUR4	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Site is no longer considered a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha.
CUR5	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Site was no longer available by the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. It remains unavailable so is not a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
PS41 Land at Highfield Farm, Farthing Lane, Curdworth	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Site lies within the Green Belt and outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
SLA70	Residential	No – site came	No – site came	No – site came	No – site came	No	Site lies within the Green Belt

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
Land at Junction 9, M42		forward after this stage	forward after this stage	forward after this stage	forward after this stage		and outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
PS179C Land at Kingsbury Road, Curdworth	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Site lies within the Green Belt and outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
PS207 Land East of Curdworth	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Site lies within the Green Belt and outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
Fillongley							
FIL1	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha so it is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan. Site lies partly within current Development Boundary.
FIL2	Residential	No	No	No	No	No	Site had already been developed by the Draft Site Allocations Plan stage. Therefore the site is not a reasonable alternative option for allocation.

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
FIL3	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site was no longer available by the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. The site now has planning consent so is not a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
FIL4	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Site at Castle Close has been granted planning consent PAP/2013/0452, 3 dwellings, not necessary to identify as an allocation. Site lies within current development boundary
PS236 Land at Village Farm, Fillongley	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Site lies within the Green Belt and outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach. Refusal of planning permission currently under Appeal.
SLA 60/PS72 Land at Eastlang Road, Fillongley	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Large site lies within the Green Belt and outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
SLA91 Land off Coventry/Nuneaton Road, Fillongley	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Large site lies within the Green Belt and outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
PS106 Land at Coventry Road, Fillongley	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Large site lies within the Green Belt and outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
PS107 Land at Coventry Road, Fillongley	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Site lies within the Green Belt and outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
Hurley							
HUR1	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is within the development boundary.
HUR2	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. The site has since been developed so is not a reasonable alternative option for allocation.
HUR3	Residential	No	No	No	No	No	Site was not previously considered a reasonable option due to being located in the Green Belt. It is now a reasonable option due the Council considering Green Belt sites. However, the site is

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							outside of the development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
HUR4	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is under 0.2ha and now has planning permission.
HUR5	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it now has planning permission.
PS76 Land at Brick Kiln Way, Hurley	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Site lies within the Green Belt and outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
PS86 Land at Heanley Lane, Hurley	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage.	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Large site lies within the Green Belt and outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
PS155 Land off Knowle Hill, Hurley	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Small site less than 0.2ha, lies within the Green Belt and outside of development boundary, which does not conform with current and proposed settlement hierarchy

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							policy approach.
PS209 Land of Brick Kiln Lane	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Large site lies within the Green Belt and outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
Newton Regis							
NR1	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
NR2	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
NR3	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Site carried forward as an Allocation from previous Site Allocations Plan. Application submitted.
NR4	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
NR5	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
NR6	Residential	N/A – site came forward after this stage	N/A – site came forward after this stage	Yes	Yes	No	<p>Site was allocated in the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan despite already having planning permission as consent was granted after the housing requirement for Newton Regis had already been calculated.</p> <p>This site is no longer identified to be a reasonable alternative option because site has received planning consent at Appeal (Reference - PAP/2013/0231 & PAP/2015/0163) and development has commenced.</p>
NR7	Residential	N/A – site came forward after this stage	N/A – site came forward after this stage	Yes	No	No	<p>A previous application for housing on the site was refused due to the size and sustainability issues associated with the site.</p> <p>This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because subsequent Appeal was dismissed and site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach so it is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan.</p>

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
Piccadilly							
PIC1	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is below 0.2ha.
Shuttington							
SHUT1 (Part)	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No (although a larger area of the site has been allocated, see below)	Site is wholly contained within larger SHUT1 area that has been allocated in New Local Plan. Majority of smaller site currently occupied by small business units/workshops. Unlikely to come forward for development in isolation from larger allocated area.
SHUT1	Residential	N/A – site came forward after this stage	N/A – site came forward after this stage	N/A – site came forward after this stage	N/A – site came forward after this stage	Yes	Allocated as 'Land south of Shuttington Village Hall.'
SHUT2	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
SHUT3	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
SHUT4	Residential	Yes	No	No	No	No	Site was no longer available by

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. This remains the case so the site is not a reasonable alternative option.
SHUT5	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. Therefore it is not a reasonable alternative option.
SHUT6	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is smaller than 0.2ha.
PS221 The Piggeries, Church Lane, Shuttington	Residential	N/A – site came forward after this stage	N/A – site came forward after this stage	N/A – site came forward after this stage	N/A – site came forward after this stage	No	Site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
Shustoke							
SHUS1	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No residential site allocations at Shustoke were made in the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan as there was a 15-house site within the Parish which already had planning permission and was under construction. This would meet the full housing requirement for the village. Access to site is difficult to achieve. Presence

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							of Listed Buildings within the site makes redevelopment difficult so deliverability in question and site not allocated at this time.
Warton							
WAR1	Residential	Yes	No	No	No	No	Site was no longer available by the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. This remains the case; therefore the site is not a reasonable alternative option.
WAR2	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. The site has now been developed so is not a reasonable alternative option.
WAR3	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Site lies within Development Boundary so principle of development already established. Access may be difficult but site is currently under consideration for potential sale or redevelopment. No decision yet made. May come forward as a Windfall site.
WAR4	Residential	No – site had planning permission	No	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. The site has now been developed

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							so is not a reasonable alternative option.
WAR5	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
WAR6	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because part of the site now has planning permission.
WAR7	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	This site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option because part of the site now has planning permission.
WAR8	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	Not in isolation (whole area of WAR8 has been included within WAR12)	The size of the whole site WAR8 was reduced because it was considered to be larger than necessary, and developing only the reduced area would mean that the development would fit more appropriately into the existing built up area. The increased housing requirements in addition to the need to address shortfalls arising from Coventry and Birmingham mean the site has been wholly included as an allocation in the New Local Plan and a current planning

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							application is under consideration/decision pending for the whole of the WAR8 site as part of a larger allocation – see WAR12 below. Warton is considered to benefit from a reasonable level of facilities and services.
WAR8 (part)	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not in isolation (whole area of WAR8 (Part) has been included within WAR12)	The size of the whole site WAR8 was reduced because it was considered to be larger than necessary, and developing only the reduced area would mean that the development would fit more appropriately into the existing built up area. The increased housing requirements in addition to the need to address shortfalls arising from Coventry and Birmingham mean the site has been wholly included as an allocation in the New Local Plan and a current planning application is under consideration/decision pending for the whole of the WAR8 (Part) site as part of a larger allocation –see WAR12 below. Warton is considered to benefit from a reasonable level of facilities and services.
WAR9	Residential	Yes	Yes	No	No	Not in isolation	Site was no longer available by

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
						(whole area of WAR9 has been included within WAR12)	the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. It is now available again and has been allocated as part of WAR8 and 9, see below.
WAR12	Residential	No – options were treated separately prior to this stage.	No – options were treated separately prior to this stage.	No – options were treated separately prior to this stage.	No – options were treated separately prior to this stage.	Yes, site contains WAR8 (Part), WAR8, and WAR9	The increased housing requirements in addition to the need to address shortfalls arising from Coventry and Birmingham mean both of the sites WAR8 and WAR9 have been combined and have been wholly included as an allocation (“WAR8 and 9”) in the New Local Plan. A current planning application is under consideration / decision pending for the part of the site covered by WAR8. Warton is considered to benefit from a reasonable level of facilities and services.
WAR10	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Site has been granted planning consent for 2 dwellings PAP/2015/0670 (17/05/2016). Development boundary amended to reflect consent.
WAR11	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
PS176	Residential	No – site came	No – site came	Yes	No	No	Site lies outside of

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
		forward after this stage	forward after this stage				development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach. Some potential access issues.
PS182	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	Yes	No	No	Site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
PS184	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	Yes	No	No	Site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach. Some potential access issues.
Whitacre Heath							
WH1	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	At Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage there were valid consents for 29 units within the village and although those consents would address the identified housing requirement for Whitacre Heath, NWBC considered that it was prudent to allocate site WH1 (for which a planning application was pending) in order to help maintain flexibility of delivery in the event the existing consents are not implemented.

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							The site is not allocated in the Draft Local Plan as it has planning permission and so is not a reasonable option.
WH2	Residential	Yes	No	No	No	No	At Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage WH2 was no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative option for housing development as the Council had made the decision to retain the site for open space due to potential flooding issues. This remains the case; therefore the site is not a reasonable alternative option. Site allocated in New Local plan as designated Open Space.
Wood End							
WE1	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	It was considered that the whole of site WE1 would not be required for housing development as it was too large given the relatively small housing allocation for Wood End, and that development on a smaller part of the site would fit in better with the surrounding built development. The site was therefore split into two parts, known as WE1A and WE1B, see below.
WE1A	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	This site is no longer

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							considered to be a reasonable alternative option because it is smaller than 0.2ha.
WE1B	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Large site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach. Some potential access issues. Part of site has been dismissed at Planning Appeal.
WE2	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Large site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
WE3	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No individually, yes combined with WE4, see below.	No	Site carried forward from earlier Site Allocations Plan as part of a larger allocation.
WE4	Residential	Yes	Yes	Yes	No individually, yes combined with WE3, see below.	No	Site carried forward from earlier Site Allocations Plan as part of a larger allocation.
WE3/4 (this comprises WE3 and WE4 combined into a single site)	Residential	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	This is the larger New Local plan allocation combining the two above sites carried forward from the Site Allocations Plan 2014 and an additional small area to west, adjoining Meadow View, which has now received planning consent for 4 units – Reference - PAP/2016/0187 but post 3 rd

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
							Aug 2016, - granted on 08/11/2016 so site carried forward as an Allocation.
WE5	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	N	No	Site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach. Some potential access issues. (SLA8 - paddock at rear of/ the oaks, Tamworth Road, wood end)
WE6	Residential	Yes	Yes	No – site had planning permission by this stage.	No	No	Site had planning permission by the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. It has since been developed so is not a reasonable alternative option.
WE7	Residential	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Large site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach. NOTE - See PS87B below.
PS202 Land at Islington Cottage, Wood End	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	Small site lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach. Some potential access issues.

Site code	Proposed use	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Part of Preferred Option at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage (March 2013)?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage (Feb 2013)?	Included in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan (June 2014)?	Included in Draft Local Plan (November 2016)?	Reasons for NWBC's decision making
PS87A Land off Tamworth Road, Wood End (West)	Residential	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No	

Table A8.2: Audit trail of site options proposed for employment development

Note that site options that are no longer reasonable alternatives and so are now excluded from the SA are shaded in grey.

Site code	Subject to SA at Draft Site Allocations Plan stage	Preferred site in Draft Site Allocations Plan?	Subject to SA at Pre-Submission stage?	Allocated in Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan?	Allocated in Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for decision making
Atherstone						
ATH6: Whole site - Land North of A5, East of Whittington Lane	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	The site as originally submitted (ATH6) was effectively physically split into three or four sites by the B4116/Holly Lane and the Coventry Canal, hence the split of the site into east and west and north and south of the canal. The land to the east was considered to be most well related to the existing built form and development in Atherstone. The area to the west would involve significant extension of the built form into the open countryside along the A5 corridor.
ATH6: West – Land North of A5, East of Whittington Lane	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	The site as originally submitted (ATH6) was effectively physically split into three or four sites by the B4116/Holly Lane and the Coventry Canal, hence the split of the site into east and west and north and south of the canal. The land to the east was considered to be most well related to the existing built form and development in Atherstone. The area to the west would involve significant extension of the built form into the open countryside along the A5 corridor.
ATH6: East – Land North of A5, East of Holly Lane north and south of the canal	Yes	Yes as EM3	Yes	Safeguarded for possible future employment development although it would not be developed until access constraints have been addressed.	No	This land was a preferred option for employment development in the Draft version of the Site Allocations Plan although it was considered in two parts at that stage (the part north and the part south of the canal). The site as originally submitted (ATH6) was effectively physically split into three or four sites by the B4116/Holly Lane and the Coventry Canal, hence the split of

						the site into east and west and north and south of the canal. The land to the east was considered to be most well related to the existing built form and development in Atherstone. The area to the west would involve significant extension of the built form into the open countryside along the A5 corridor. Difficulty of delivering site in face of access issues, impact on A5, Holly Lane roundabout and difficulty/cost and viability of bridging canal between the two parts of the site meant site not carried forward as an allocation.
ATH15	Yes	Yes, as EM2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Site carried forward from Site Allocations Plan allocation and employment proposal EMP8. Site identified for future Aldi expansion land and/or B1, 2 & 8 uses.
ATH23 - Formerly part of ATH5	YES (Residential Only)	Yes (Residential Only)	Yes (Residential Only)	Yes (Residential Only)	Yes	Area covered by ATH23 was formerly part of residential site allocation ATH5. However, Aldi purchased part of the ATH5 site and the subsequent residential planning application covered the remaining Holly Lane area but excluded this area. This area was identified as potential Aldi expansion land and has been therefore allocated in the New Local plan for employment purposes.
Polesworth and Dordon						
DOR8	Yes	Yes, as part of EM1 along with DOR11	No	No	No	Site had planning permission by Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan stage. Site under construction – see Planning Consents PAP/2015/0679, PAP/2015/0269, PAP/2012/0347
DOR10	Yes	No (Not included in EM1 At this point)	Yes	Yes	Yes	This site was an existing open space which may be redeveloped for employment land and the open space provision made elsewhere. There were uncertainties regarding the deliverability of that site, due to the charitable status of the land and users and it was recognised that any potential relocation and redevelopment must take place post-2018 due to legal covenants applying to the site. Nevertheless, there

						was ongoing discussion as to the potential for relocation and redevelopment within the timeframe of the Core Strategy. In view of the potential impact of adjoining employment development, for the efficient use/development of land and the potential for improving provision and facilities through development contributions it was considered appropriate to maintain this site and proposal as a preferred option for employment development. The site was not critical to the delivery of the employment land requirement but provided some flexibility while attempting to address and avoid any conflict of adjoining uses that may arise as a result of the employment development around the site.
DOR11	Yes	Yes, as part of EM1 along with DOR8	No – Site subject to planning application at this point (2012/13), subsequently granted 4/7/13.	Yes (Allocation maintained to reflect planning applications pending and granted).	No Planning Consent already granted for site as Phase 3 of Birch Coppice. Need for allocation was overtaken by events/circumstances.	Site Consent granted, See Planning Consents PAP/2012/0347 and PAP/2015/0679, PAP/2015/0269. Development Boundary amended in New Local Plan to reflect consents.
DOR18/19/20 (mixed-use commercial and residential plus potentially some retail development)	Yes	No	Yes	Yes (for employment use only)	No	Site not carried forward for employment option. Site now lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach.
DOR20 (employment/retail)	Yes	No	No	No	No	By Pre-Submission stage, developing the site for employment and retail use was no longer considered a reasonable option due to a lack of demand and because this would conflict with the aim of the Core Strategy to protect town centres. Site area originally artificially created by combining parts of DOR18 & 19. Site now lies outside of development boundary, which does not conform to current and proposed settlement hierarchy policy approach. The site is no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative.
DOR22 (land west	N/A – site came	N/A – site came	Yes	Yes	Yes	Site immediately adjoins Birch Coppice to

of Birch Coppice)	forward after this stage	forward after this stage				west (including Council Allotments area) with direct access achievable off Arley Drive on main Business Park estate road. Carried forward as an allocation from Site Allocations Plan.
Adjacent Adjoining Settlements						
DOR24 (Land to west of Junction 10 M42 at Centurion Park)	N/A – site came forward after this stage	N/A – site came forward after this stage	Yes	Yes	Yes	Site Carried forward from Site Allocations Plan, but has now received planning consent (PAP/2014/0014) and construction is now underway. Site was also retained as a clear allocation with intention of transferring site and/or consent area (8.5 ha) to Tamworth to address their 14 ha shortfall of employment land under Duty to Co-operate.
PS235 Land South of A5 (Mira)	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	No – site came forward after this stage	Yes	Site has come forward following A5 highway improvements as part of negotiation and consideration of opportunity to expand on recent MIRA Regional Growth Bid and proposed/approved redevelopment of MIRA facility.
Coleshill						
EM4 Hams Hall Power Station B site	Yes - (Energy Generation and Employment)	Yes	Yes - (Energy Generation and Employment)	Yes, retained for potential renewable energy generation schemes	Yes	Following work on Green Belt review and clear indication that site may not be available or suitable for alternative energy generation with concerns over impact on sensitive manufacturing facility at BMW adjoining, the energy element of the proposal has been dropped and site is now proposed primarily for “appropriate” employment uses, subject to impact on, and highlighting sensitivity of, adjoining uses at BMW facility.
Curdworth						
CUR1 (employment use only)	Yes	No	No	No	No	Site was no longer vacant and was already occupied for employment uses by the time that the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan was prepared. Site did not come forward during the call for sites process and is therefore assumed to be no longer available. However, this site may come forward as a windfall site. Site is currently in employment use.

CUR1 (employment and residential uses)	Yes	No	Yes – As a mixed-use site	No	No	Site was no longer vacant and was already occupied for employment uses by the time that the Pre-Submission Site Allocations Plan was prepared. Site did not come forward during the call for sites process and is therefore assumed to be no longer available. However, this site may come forward as a windfall site. Site is currently in employment use.
Old and New Arley						
ARL4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Safeguarded as Proposal EMP2	No	Site was to be safeguarded in Pre-Submission Local Plan. Following an issue highlighted in Employment Land Review, the Site Allocations Plan included an original Proposal EMP2 for potential flexible redevelopment opportunities for commercial and employment generating uses, including service uses on what was a long term vacant site. This has been overtaken by events as site is now back in normal B1/2/8 operational use and no longer vacant. Need for Proposal is therefore no longer considered necessary.