

Executive Board report 24th September 2012**Update Note to Late Representations and Responses Addendum to Appendix A****Late representation changes**

The following changes are those recommended arising from the Late Representations.

Representation Name	Reference No.	Comments	Response/Change proposed
Public Health/WNCCG	LDPSR89A	The CCG expect the Core Strategy to accord with the NPPF. Seeks all new development to consider and improve residential amenity	Noted. Amenity impacts will be dealt with the the Development Management DPD

No other changes are proposed arising from the late representations in Addendum to Appendix to A.

Additional corrections

A number of additional changes are also proposed to address some clarifications arising from the representations that need the responses amended or corrected.

Representation Name	Reference No.	Comments	Response/Change proposed
Correction		Para 2.30. Duplicate wording	In 5 th sentence delete duplicate word “buildings”.
Correction		Correction to 6.81. Additional wording added in wrong paragraph.	Amend Paragraph 6.81, 3 rd sentence as follows; “To the north and east <i>is</i> the issue of coal reserves.” Delete remainder of sentence.
Correction	DPSR15A	Response incorrect. States term “proportionate” is acceptable. Should state this is ‘unacceptable’.	Response amended to “Comment on term “proportionate” considered <i>unacceptable</i> as a minor change as it allows a too flexible approach.”
Correction	DPSR20D	Response incorrect.	Response amended to - Policy NW1 text clarification will be amended to highlight different approach in Green Belt settlements. Appendix C provides more detail.
Correction	DPSR34B	Text Omission. Reference to outcome of judicial review omitted.	Response amended to; “Noted. Now decision on HS2 has been made (subject to outcome of judicial review) it is intended to amend Key Diagram to indicate proposed route of HS2, <i>only in event that the review is unsuccessful</i> . No

			<i>change to Key Diagram.</i>
Warwickshire Police	DPSR77A	Sustainability is clearly an important theme in the draft Core Strategy. Every crime has an associated carbon cost, including the need for police incident response and follow up visits. Warwickshire Police recommends the adoption of Secured By Design standards in any new housing or business developments	Minor amendment proposed to 6.57. last sentence, as follows; “The <i>Borough Council will use the Secured by Design</i> ¹ principles, <i>which</i> are widely accepted to contribute to lowering crime rates.”
NPPF Issue		NPPF Compliance and Clarity. Policy NW16	Add “and practicable” to last sentence of first paragraph in Policy NW16

English Heritage have also responded to the Borough Councils Conservation Officer’s observations on their (EH’s) original representations, and are noted as follows. The proposed response is also detailed.

Representation Name	Reference No	Comments	Response/Change proposed
- English Heritage - Planning Adviser, West Midlands	DPSR32	<p>Spatial Strategy.</p> <p>Whilst no specific housing sites are allocated the Plan proposes to identify the capacity of certain places for a certain amount of development and gives the approximate location for this, i.e. a spatial strategy. Evidence is required to demonstrate that there is an understanding of the significance of the HE potentially affected. This needs to be demonstrated.</p> <p>It is reasonable to expect such an assessment of whether the significance of the historic environment will be affected by future development earmarked in any plan and I know of a legal challenge by a 3rd party where it was felt this hadn’t taking place. These comments are consistent with the NPPF (e.g. paragraph 169/170)</p>	<p>Agreed. Clarify that the Historic Environment Record, including the Historic Landscape Character assessment has informed the Strategy.</p> <p>Paragraph 6.68 will be amended to clarify approach as follows;</p> <p>“It has been systematically characterised through the national programme of Historic Landscape Characterisation, a regional programme of Historic Farmsteads Characterisation and a Countywide Historic Town Study and results of this <i>work have informed this Core Strategy and will further</i> inform the planning and design of developments.”</p>
		English heritage notes the alternative but seek to ensure consistency with the NPPF (e.g. paragraph 7) that the policy	Agreed - Policy NW11 will be amended to reflect clearer the NPPF text, changing from “conserved and

¹ ACPO CPI, 1989; Secured by Design, www.securedbydesign.com

		should read <i>protected <u>and</u> enhanced</i> (first paragraph and section B).	enhanced" to "protected and enhanced" as suggested.
		Monitoring – comment noted although would reiterate the necessity for such an indicator/target.	Agreed. Monitoring requirements have been amended to reflect the points raised and cover the main areas of concern; See page 65 of Core Strategy
		Gypsy and Travellers. EH still seek criteria to be included that ensures future allocated sites are identified having regard to the significance of the historic environment that has been determined by the evidence base.	No change proposed. Unnecessary to add the additional criteria to the Gypsy and Travellers policy as suggested as the plan should be read as a whole and other policies deal with this matter. At this stage no sites have been identified. The Gypsy and Travellers DPD will address this further.